

allegation of diversity of citizenship, there is no basis to assert diversity jurisdiction. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441. And second, defendant's assertion of removal jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1443 is baseless, as she has not satisfied either prong of the two-part test required for removal under § 1443(1). See Patel v. Del Taco, Inc., 446 F.3d 996, 999 (9th Cir. 2006). As described in more detail in the Order Denying Defendant's Request to Proceed Without Prepayment of Filing Fee, the Notice of Removal fails to assert, as a defense to the unlawful detainer action, a statutory right for the protection of racial equality, and also fails to point to any state statute or constitutional provision that commands the state courts to ignore such federal right. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that: (1) this matter be REMANDED to the

Superior Court of California, Riverside County, 13800 Heacock Street, Building D #201, Moreno Valley, CA 92553, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

7/17/0012 DATED: